

# Irish Forestry Unit Trust

### Garvagh MU By Mohill, Co. Leitrim

## MANAGEMENT PLAN 2022 – 2041 (Plan Period: 2022 -2026)

#### 2. GENERAL INTRODUCTION, OWNERSHIP AND LANDUSE HISTORY.

Garvagh Management Unit (MU) comprises 7 properties totalling 102.3ha. This MU is based on geographical location as all blocks are in the same locality between Mohill and Fenagh in south County Leitrim. The sites within the MU were previously enclosed marginal grazing. Neighbouring landuse private forestry and enclosed marginal grazing. Drumlin topography with small loughs. Present neighbouring landuse comprises enclosed marginal grazing and similar age forestry.

#### Forest properties being reviewed:

- 1. Garvagh 22.80ha. Established circa 1985.
- 2. Drumnid 30.98ha. Established circa 1989.

All forested land is owned by freehold title by the Irish Forestry Unit Trust (IForUT). Legal folios are held on file.

#### New properties to be added to the MU:

- 3. Adoon 7.86ha. Established circa 1989.
- 4. Lisomadaun 2 10.24ha. Established circa 1995.
- 5. Drumbeghra 12.85ha. Established circa 1999.
- 6. Lisomadaun 11.57ha. Established circa 1990.
- 7. Adoon 2 5.96ha. Established circa 1998.

#### Elevation

- Garvagh 90 metres to 70 metres.
- Drumnid 85 metres to 65 metres.
- Adoon 85 metres to 65 metres.
- Lisomadaun 2 90 metres to 65 metres.
- Drumbeghra 90 metres to 60 metres.
- Lisomadaun 90 metres to 65 metres.
- Adoon 2 85 metres to 60 metres.

#### **Statutory Land Designations**

There are no forest sites situated in a NPWS (National Parks & Wildlife Service) designated area with protected status.

#### Water/Fisheries Sensitivities:

The forest sites within the Garvagh MU are in the following catchments and sub catchments:

#### • Upper Shannon Catchment

- Cloone [Lough Rinn]\_SC\_010 sub catchment
- Eslin\_SC\_010 sub catchment

#### See Map 9 – Water Catchments within Garvagh MU.

#### Old Woodland Sites (OWS):

There are no OWS/potential OWS in Garvagh MU.

#### Archaeology/Historical/Cultural:

There are no features of archaeological, historical and cultural significance situated within the Garvagh MU but any features in adjoining properties are marked on all which is marked on all operational maps and will be protected as such. These features are recorded on the 'Sites and Monuments Record' (<u>https://maps.archaeology.ie/HistoricEnvironment/</u>). Refer to Map 4.

The Forest Service give advice on protection and outline mitigation measures when IForUT apply for a licence to carry out any harvesting operation in the vicinity of any monument. Also, as standard procedure, any old farmhouse/cottage or other buildings and stone walls/significant ditches are considered as more recent cultural heritage and are protected during harvesting and roading operations.

#### 4. SOIL TYPES.

There are two soil types across the Garvagh MU Forest sites.

• Peat is the primary soil type within the MU with surface water gley also distributed across the forest sites.

#### 5. SUMMARY OF THE LANDSCAPE VALUE OF THE FOREST.

**Low Felling Impact** with **Low Afforestation Sensitivity** - Refer to Map 3. Closed in drumlin landscape with only local views of forest entrances from the adjacent public roads. Locality is sparsely populated. No significant areas of natural woodland exist within the landscape other than hedgerow trees which are a dominant feature of small field units.

Whenever felling licences are submitted the Forest Service will correspond with relevant local and statutory bodies to investigate any potential landscape sensitivities. The Forest Service Moderate Landscape Sensitivity class, as defined for afforestation planning covers the three upland properties which will require some sensitivity given to the restocking planning in this MU.

To address the potential landscape impact issues caused by future clearfelling, the felling plan (Map 6) has applied a significant coupe restructuring plan to reduce clearfell size and introduce coupe separation. Long-term restructuring plan (Map 7) outlines future restructuring species which is limited to Sitka spruce based on soil types and management objectives. Biodiversity enhancement in Map 7 is focused on the creation of additional open ground and expansion of broadleaf planting. Species choice being specifically matched to soil type and exposure.

#### 6. MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES.

- 1) To maximise economic output from the forest at local and national level.
- To manage FMU in accordance with the principals of the Forest Stewardship Council<sup>®</sup> (FSC<sup>®</sup>) and international benchmarks of the Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification Schemes (PEFC).
- 3) To manage timber production in a sustainable way.
- 4) To maintain and enhance the landscape value of the forest.
- 5) To protect existing biodiversity and where opportunities arise enhance and further promote new biodiversity.
- 6) To manage forest activities with due regard to local, regional, and national stakeholder interests.
- 7) To promote continuous professional development at management level.

(IForUTs FSC licence code: FSC-C003194)

| 14. Summary of silvicultural systems to be used |          |              |
|---|----------|--------------|
| System  | Conifers | Broadleaves  |
| Clear fell                                      | ✓        |              |
| Selective fell                                  |          |              |
| Thinning to MTI                                 | ✓        |              |
| Line thinning only                              |          |              |
| Non thin regime                                 | ✓        |              |
| Non intervention                                |          | ✓            |
| Natural regeneration                            |          | ✓            |
| Replanting                                      | ✓        | $\checkmark$ |
| Other   |          |              |

#### 14. Summary of silvicultural systems to be used.

|   | Objective     | Was it achieved?  | How was this information applied to 5-year Management Plan          |
|---|---------------|---|---|
|   |               |   | review?   |
| 1 | Economic      | Both Garvagh and Drumnid blocks 1st thinned in 2007 and   | Clearfells occurred in both Garvagh and Drumnid properties, and     |
|   | Return        | 2nd thin planned in 2010. Thinning removal below forecast | some were unexpected as they were done following wind damage.       |
|   |               | volume but crop carried higher volumes. Crops growing     | Drumnid was severely damaged and the windblow diminished            |
|   |               | very well with no significant windblow. Drumnid high      | economic return. Some standing timber left in Garvagh which will be |
|   |               | pruned 2008, Garvagh 2009. Thinned again in 2013/2014.    | removed over in two blocks over the next 7 years. Drumbeghra was    |
|   |               | Clearfells commenced in both older properties.            | also felled in 2021 and timber had to be double handled which       |
|   |               |   | affected the economic return on that somewhat.                      |
| 2 | Certification | Certification standards and IForUT systems applied over   | Continue as before and update FMM as required.                      |
|   | Standards     | plan period. Managers working off FMM                     |   |

#### 20. 5 Year Monitoring Report Summary. Garvagh MU

| 3 | Timber     | Decent quality crops that were thinned in a timely manner. | There is a 2022 felling done Garvagh and this area has yet to be         |
|---|------------|--|--|
|   | production | As per thinning, adjustment higher standing volumes are    | replanted. in 2023 there is a Clearfell planned in Lisomadaun which      |
|   |            | expected at clearfell. Variation in YC should be used to   | has been damaged by windblow. Felling coupes have been kept as           |
|   |            | separate the felling coupe sequences with separation to    | small as possible whilst taking windblow risk, site topography and       |
|   |            | be addressed   | logistics into account. Felling coupes have also been separated out      |
|   |            |  | as much as possible to allow for time delays between felling coupes      |
|   |            |  | and by and large there is not a glut of felling in any five year period. |
|   |            |  | However, delaying felling or bringing felling forward any further        |
|   |            |  | would result in severe economic losses from either a too small tree      |
|   |            |  | size or wind-damage as a result of delaying felling beyond a critical    |
|   |            |  | top height. The new properties which have been added to the MU           |
|   |            |  | are generally a younger age class and these have extended out the        |
|   |            |  | felling years somewhat. There will be minimal thinning over the next     |
|   |            |  | five year period. Most crops have been thinned though there is a         |
|   |            |  | significant area in Lisomadaun which is managed as No thin as            |
|   |            |  | access issues have been impeded intervention. Difficult access also      |
|   |            |  | exists in Adoon.   |
| 4 | Landscape  | Two new landscape layers added to designations GIS         | A new landscape layer was added to Sensitivities Map in MP.              |
|   |            | layer. Forest Service layer taken off National Development | Landscape character classified as LOW. Closed in Drumlin                 |
|   |            | plan for new planting and Landscape Unit appraisals        | landscape across forest blocks. No significant landscape impacts         |
|   |            | based on Coillte LUs.                                      | are expected.  |

| 5 | Biodiversity | Biodiversity enhancement to date has been thinning out    | Have removed trees by Stakeholders house in Garvagh in last plan   |  |
|---|--------------|---|--|--|
|   | Enhancement  | around hedgerows and occasional drains. Little natural    | period. This small area is noted as UP habitat now. Some of the new  |  |
|   |              | regeneration along road lines or rides or rides to date.  | properties already have an element of broadleaf planting,  |  |
|   |              | Poor supply of seed trees and wet/water-logged            | Lisomadaun for example. There have been increased opportunities  |  |
|   |              | compacted soils. Pine martin spotted once in forest 2005. | to enhance biodiversity given that some properties have entered a  |  |
|   |              |   | sequence of felling and restocking. In Adoon, increased open space   |  |
|   |              |   | and broadleaves have been introduced along the county road. In   |  |
|   |              |   | Drumbeghra, there was already broadleaf trees around the boundary  |  |
|   |              |   | of the property which have been retained. Some additional broadleaf  |  |
|   |              |   | replanting has been incorporated in the narrow band by the   |  |
|   |              |   | stakeholders house and at the southern boundary several rows of  |  |
|   |              |   | supplemental trees have been incorporated there. In Drumnid, open  |  |
|   |              |   | supplemental trees have been incorporated there. In Drumind, open<br>spaces and broadleaves have been introduced at the edges of the |  |
|   |              |   | property where there is a boundary with public roads. In terms of  |  |
|   |              |   | species of note, over the previous plan period, red squirrel was noted   |  |
|   |              |   | in Lisomadaun in 2020. In general, open space and biodiversity will  |  |
|   |              |   | increase even further over the next rotation due to changes in the   |  |
|   |              |   | required national standards. These standards will require increased  |  |
|   |              |   | broadleaves and open-space alongside county roads and dwelling   |  |
|   |              |   | houses at restocking stage.  |  |
| 6 | Stakeholder  | Generally good stakeholder relationships. Quiet with      | Continue to log any stakeholder issues. County council main  |  |
| Ĵ |              | neighbours.   | stakeholder with roading. Have removed trees by Stakeholders   |  |
|   |              |   | house in Garvagh in last plan period. In this region, there is   |  |
|   |              |   | increasing stakeholder interest in forestry in general.  |  |
|   |              |   |  |  |

*4.1 Forest Management Plan –* Version 8 (Sept 21)

| 7 | Professional | managed. Ongoing development of the IForUT                | Retendering of whole portfolio into regional management units in   |
|---|--------------|---|--|
|   | Development  | FMM and database over the period. Forest Managers are     | 2010 to simplify overall forest level management and Certification |
|   |              | members of SIF and attend lectures and field days as part | compliance. New centralised network server (                       |
|   |              | of continuous professional development.                   | been introduced for managing FMM and IForUT database.              |
| 8 | Forward      | Continue with current standards and work practices and    | Carry out reviews of Forest Management Manual and introduce        |
|   | Planning     | review on a continuous basis to implement industry best   | manager training and updating of best practice.                    |
|   |              | practice.   |  |